

Northwest Indian College
2010-2011 USLI Proposal Feedback

1. Good section on school information and portrayal of student organization.
2. Team should have gone in to more detail in its description of facilities and necessary equipment.
3. Team should have shown more evidence that it will conform to the required EIT standards.
4. Very good safety section of document.
5. Good plan for the handling of hazardous materials.
6. Great safety plans and procedures.
7. Good overview and diagrams of rocket. When the team has amended the proposal to account for not using the weather station, be sure that the design of the airframe reflects any changes made to the payload, if necessary.
8. In future reviews, be sure to include details on the type and size of all components used in the rocket (bulkhead type and thickness, airframe type, recovery harness material and size, parachute type and size, hardware type and size, epoxy used, motor retention method, etc.)
9. In the PDR, be sure to include information about the simulations or analysis used in the baseline motor selection including trajectory analysis, altitude predictions, CP/CG locations etc. (RockSim, MathCAD, MatLab, etc.)
10. Team should have gone in to more detail regarding the challenges and solutions that are specific to the team's project.
11. Good plans for community support and educational engagement.
12. Good schedule/timeline.
13. Good initial budget.